

City of Long Beach Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
ND 02-18

Prepared by:

City of Long BeachDepartment of Development Services
Planning Bureau

INITIAL STUDY

Project Title:

City of Long Beach Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment

Lead Agency name and address:

City of Long Beach 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802

Contact person and phone number:

Carrie Tai, Current Planning Officer (562) 570-6411

Project Location:

Citywide, City of Long Beach, County of Los Angeles, California.

Project Sponsor's name and contact information:

City of Long Beach, Long Beach Development Services Department c/o Carrie Tai 333 W. Ocean Boulevard, 5th Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 (562) 570-6411

General Plan:

The proposed Zoning Code Amendment would cover all General Plan Land Use Districts that apply to any zoning district, Specific Plan area, or Planned Development (PD) district in the City of Long Beach.

Zoning:

The proposed Zoning Code Amendment would cover all zoning districts and all Planned Development districts in the City of Long Beach.

Project Description:

The proposed Religious Assembly Uses Zoning Code Amendment (Project) would consist of the following changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance): 1) update regulations for religious assembly to be equal to or more flexible than other assembly uses; 2) classify different size assembly uses to be permitted, conditionally permitted, or not permitted in various zoning districts; 3) update development standards for religious assembly uses; and 4) provide new definitions to ensure that land uses are categorized properly.

Surrounding land uses and settings:

The City of Long Beach is adjacent to the following municipalities: City of Los Angeles (Wilmington, Port of Los Angeles), Carson, Compton, Paramount, Bellflower, Lakewood, Hawaiian Gardens, Cypress, Los Alamitos and Seal Beach. It is also adjacent to the unincorporated communities of Rancho Dominguez and Rossmoor. In addition, the City of Signal Hill is completed surrounded by the City of Long Beach.

Public agencies whose approval is required:

Long Beach Planning Commission (recommend City Council adopt Negative Declaration 02-18 and approve the Zoning Code Amendment for the Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update Ordinance)

Long Beach City Council (adopt Negative Declaration 02-18 and approve the Zoning Code Amendment for the Conditional Use Permit Regulations Update Ordinance)

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this Project involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages:

Aesthetics	Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Population and Housing
Agricultural Resources	Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Public Services
Air Quality	Hydrology and Water Quality	Recreation
Biological Resources	Land Use and Planning	Transportation/Traffic
Cultural Resources	Mineral Resources	Utilities and Service Systems
Geology and Soils	Noise	Mandatory Findings of Significance

DETERMINATION:

On the basis of this initial evaluation:
I find that the proposed Project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the Project have been made by or agreed to by the Project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
I find that the proposed Project MAY have a significant effect on the environment and a ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
I find that the proposed Project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis, as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
I find that although the proposed Project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIAVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR of NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed Project, nothing further is required.
Carrie Tai Date
Current Planning Officer

EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are supported adequately by the information sources a Lead Agency cites in the parenthesis following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g. the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g. the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the Lead Agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration; Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The Lead Agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analysis," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration (per Section 15063(c)(3)(D)). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
 - Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
 - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effect was addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
 - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less that Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

- 6) Supporting information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 7) The explanation of each issue should identify:
 - a) The significance criteria or threshold. If any, used to evaluate each question; and
 - b) The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

AES	THETICS						
a. V	Vould the	project h	nave a substant	ial adv	erse effect	on a sce	enic vista?
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
of so ocea the S Ana	Id not resul cenic vistas an to the se San Gabrie Mountains	t in signi s. The Couth and land Sa to the G	is Assembly Usificant adverse e City topography Palos Verdes to n Bernardino Mo east are occasion during the winte	ffects to is relation to the wo buntains onally a	o any scenicy vely flat, wivest. In add to the northy vailable to	vistas or th scenic dition, dis h as well	r public views vistas of the stant views of as the Santa
(Zor prop envi nece	ning Órdina nosed Proje ronment. essary.	nce) to s ect would Therefo	amendments to simplify the regulation of the result in a re, no further a	llation o iny neg analysis	of Religious ative impac of this e	Assemblets to the nvironme	y Uses. The City's visual ntal issue is
r		to, tree	substantially da s, rock outcrop ay?	_		-	•
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
reso	urces, tree ementation	es or ro . There	cenic highways ock outcropping would therefo analysis is requi	s woul re be r	d be dam	aged du	e to Project
•		o iuitiiei					
reso		project :	substantially de	_	the existin	g visual	character or

Please see Section I.a. and b. above for discussion.

		•	•	create a nev sely affect day			,	-
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	all a _l Zonii	pplicable reg ng Ordinance	ulation e). Sin	and land use a s, including Lo ce Project imp or glare impac	ong Bead Iementat	ch Municipa ion would r	al Title 21 not directly	(Long Beach y or indirectly
I.	AGR	ICULTURE	RESO	JRCES				
effects Asses	s, lead smen al mo	d agencies n t Model (19	nay ref 97) pr	s to agricultura er to the Califo epared by the essing impacts	rnia Agri Califorr	cultural Lai nia Dept. c	nd Evalua of Conser	tion and Site vation as an
	F p	armland of repared pur	Statev suant	t convert Pr vide Importan to the Farmla urces Agency	ce (Farr nd Mapp	nland), as ping and M	shown o	on the maps
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
		Vould the pr Villiamson A	-	conflict with e tract?	xisting z	oning for	agricultu	ral use, or a
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	tŀ	nat, due to	their	involve other location or pricultural use	nature,			
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

For Sections II. a., b. and c. - There are no agricultural zones within the City of Long Beach, which is a fully urbanized community that has been built upon for over half a century. The Project would have no effect upon agricultural resources within the City of Long Beach or any other neighboring city or county.

III. AIR QUALITY

The South Coast Air Basin is subject to some of the worst air pollution in the nation, attributable to its topography, climate, meteorological conditions, large population base, and dispersed urban land use patterns.

Air quality conditions are affected by the rate and location of pollutant emissions and by climatic conditions that influence the movement and dispersion of pollutants. Atmospheric forces such as wind speed, wind direction, and air temperature gradients, along with local and regional topography, determine how air pollutant emissions affect air quality.

The South Coast Air Basin has a limited capability to disperse air contaminants because of its low wind speeds and persistent temperature inversions. In the Long Beach area, predominantly daily winds consist of morning onshore airflow from the southwest at a mean speed of 7.3 miles per hour and afternoon and evening offshore airflow from the northwest at 0.2 to 4.7 miles per hour with little variability between seasons. Summer wind speeds average slightly higher than winter wind speeds. The prevailing winds carry air contaminants northward and then eastward over Whittier, Covina, Pomona and Riverside.

The majority of pollutants found in the Los Angeles County atmosphere originate from automobile exhausts as unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen and other materials. Of the five major pollutant types (carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, reactive organic gases, sulfur oxides, and particulates), only sulfur oxide emissions are produced mostly by sources other than automobile exhaust.

	project conflict with r Quality Attainment l	•	lementation of the
Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	alifornia Association of	•	,

The Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) has determined that if a project is consistent with the growth forecasts for the subregion in which it is located, it is consistent with the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP), and regional emissions are mitigated by the control strategies specified in the AQMP. Since this Project does not propose any specific developments or growth inducing projects that

would conflict with the SCAG growth forecasts, it would be consistent with the AQMP and therefore no further analysis is required.

			violate any ai d air quality vi			or cont	tribute to a	n
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
stan air q	dards or co	ontribute	roposed Projecto an air quality then significan	/ violatio	n. Therefor	re, Proje	ct impacts o	n
a u (i	ny criteria Inder an	a polluta applicat releasing	result in a cur ant for which ble federal or g emissions wl	the pro	oject regio ambient a	n is no ir quali	n-attainmer ity standar	nt d
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
Plea	se see Sed	ctions III.a	a. and b. above	for discu	ussion.			
	Would the oncentrat		expose sensi	itive rec	eptors to	substant	tial pollutar	۱t
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact	
athle pollu sens locat of R	etes, elderly ition than sitive recep ted through	y and sick the popu otors, incl nout the (y Handbook do individuals that allation at large uding, schools. Dity. The Projects. Please s	it are mo . Facili , hospita ect only i	ore susceptil ties that seals, and ser nvolves sim	ble to the erve vari nior care aplifying t	e effects of a ous types of centers, ar the regulation	ir of e n
	Vould the number of		create object	ionable	odors aff	ecting a	substantia	al

Land uses subject to this proposed Project would occur in established urbanized areas and would not remove or impact any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural communities. No further environmental analysis is required.

C.	protected w (including, b	project have a setlands as defined out not limited to, wal, filling, hydrolog	d by Section 404 marsh, vernal poo	of the Clea ol, coastal, e	n Water Act etc.) through
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant wit Mitigation Incorporation	Less That he Significa Impact		No Impact
	urbanized are	mentation of the preas and would not ps. No further enviro	promote or involve a	alteration of a	
d.	native resident	project interfere sent or migratory filent or migratory feent or migratory feenursery sites?	sh or wildlife spe	cies or with	established
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant wit Mitigation Incorporation	Less That he Signification Impact		No Impact
	not alter or a	mentation would occudversely impact andidors or nursery s	y native resident o	r migratory f	ish or wildlife
e.		project conflict iological resource	•		
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant wit Mitigation Incorporation	Less That Signification Impact		No Impact
	conformity weliminate and	ementation would by ith all local policion or future of the further environme	es and regulations e policy or ordina	i. It would ince protecti	not alter or

	f. Would the project conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?
	Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant Significant With Significant Impact Incorporation
	The Project would not have any adverse effects on any existing or future habitat conservation plans. Please see Sections IV.a. through e. above for further discussion.
V.	CULTURAL RESOURCES
	a. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in Section §15064.5?
	Potentially Less Than Significant Significant With Significant Impact Incorporation Support No Impact Significant Impact Significant Impact Impact Incorporation
	The City of Long Beach is an urbanized community and nearly all properties within the City (except for areas such as protected park lands) have been previously disturbed and/or developed. The proposed Project would not promote, encourage or enable activities that could remove, degrade or in any way adversely impact local historic resources. No further environmental analysis is required.
	b. Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to Section §15064.5?
	Potentially Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation Solution Impact Significant Impact Incorporation
	Implementation of the Project would not result in any specific construction activities involving extensive excavation, and therefore would not be anticipated to affect or destroy any archaeological resources due its geographic location. Please see Section V.a. above for further discussion.
	c. Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?

events. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

to comply with applicable building codes that account for the possibility of seismic

	ii)	Strong se	ismic ground sh	aking	?		
	Potentially Significan Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
seisi othe level dete not p seisi build	mic event r fault systs of grou rmine the possible to mic even	occurred stem in Sound shaking level of docterming the All lans relative	I fault zone could along that fault. uthern Californiang throughout the amage to a specie the level of dand uses must cout of seismic safety	Simila has the City ific loomage to form	rly, a strong some potential to the potential to the potential to the potential to the potential may occur to all application.	seismic create numero these v or on the able Sta	event on any considerable ous variables variables, it is e site during a ate and local
	iii)	Seismic-r	elated ground fa	ilure,	including liq	uefacti	on?
	Potentially Significan Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
mining sout and the	mal or heastern the weste 405 free	low liquet portion of ern portior way), whe	mic Safety Eleme faction potential. the City, where to most of the are ere there is eith ection VI.a.i. above	Th there i ea wes er mo	ne only exc s significant I st of Pacific A derate or sign	eptions iquefac Avenue gnifican	are in the tion potential, and south of
	iv)	Landslide	s?				
	Potentially Significan Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
slope 1-1/2 Beac	es that ar 2:1, horizo ch Quadr	e not high ontal to ve angle ind	Element, the Cit (less than 50 fee rtical). The State cates that the land d Reservoir Hill)	et) or s e Seisr ack of	teep (general nic Hazard Z steep terrai	ly slopii one ma n (exce	ng flatter than p of the Long ept for a few

required. Please see Section VI.a.i. above for further discussion.

City lying within the earthquake-induced landslide zone for this quadrangle. Therefore, no impact would be expected and no further environmental analysis is

	Vould the opsoil?	project	result	in sub	stantia	l soil	erosion	or	the	loss	of
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significan Mitigation Incorpora	t with		Less Th Significa Impact			No Ir	npact	
to al man activ	and uses su I applicable agement pr rities such ronmental a	constructions to actices to actices	ction sta o minimi: avation,	ndards ze runo recon	regardii ff and e	ng eros rosion i	sion cont impacts t	rol, i	ncluc earth	ling b	est ing
r	Vould the porthat would esult in continuous transfer in continuous t	ld becor on- or	ne unsta off-site	able as	a resul	t of the	e projec	t, an	d po	tentia	ally
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less That Significan Mitigation Incorpora	t with		Less Th Significa Impact			No Ir	npact	
regu	se see Seo lations of th ling code re	ne Projec	t would	be cons	structed	in com			•		
	Vould the Iniform Bui				•						the
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significan Mitigation Incorpora	t with		Less Th Significa Impact			No Ir	npact	
Plea	se see Sec	tions VI.t	o. and c.	above f	or expla	anation					
C	Vould the post of septic to see the septic to the septic to the sewers are to the septic tof the septic to the septic to the septic to the septic to the sep	anks o	r altern	ative v	vastewa	ater d	isposal	sys	_		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less That Significan Mitigation Incorpora	t with		Less Th Significa Impact			No Ir	npact	

The entire City is served by an existing sewer system and therefore has no need for septic tanks or any other alternative wastewater disposal systems. No further environmental analysis is required.

VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

VIII.

a. Would the project generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?								
Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impact Significant Impact Impact Incorporation								
California is a substantial contributor of global greenhouse gases (GHGs), emitting over 400 million tons of carbon dioxide per year. Climate studies indicate that California is likely to see an increase of three to four degrees Fahrenheit over the next century. Methane is also an important GHG that potentially contributes to global climate change. GHGs are global in their effect, which is to increase the earth's ability to absorb heat in the atmosphere. As primary GHGs have a long lifetime in the atmosphere, accumulate over time, and are generally well-mixed, their impact on the atmosphere is mostly independent of the point of emission.								
The Project would not result in direct or indirect significant GHG impacts, but rather would establish changes to the City's Zoning Ordinance to simplify the regulation of Religious Assembly Uses. No further environmental analysis is needed.								
b. Would the project conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?								
Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impa								
Please see Section VII.a. above for discussion. The proposed Project would not permit any land use operations that would conflict with any plans, policies or regulations related to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. No further environmental analysis is needed.								

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

•		ent throu	create a signifugh the routines?			-	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Proj haza Mur	ect that i ardous m nicipal Cod	involve th aterials w de Section	activities that wo be handling and would be required as 8.86 through 8. environmental ana	dispo d to 88 as	sal of haz fully comp well as a	zardous o oly with Il existing	or potentially Long Beach
(environme	ent throus s involvi	create a signifugh reasonably ng the release	fore	seeable u	upset ar	nd accident
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Plea	ase see Se	ection VIII.	a. above for discu	ssion.			
á	acutely ha	azardous	emit hazardous materials, subst or proposed scho	ances			
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
Plea	ase see Se	ection VIII.	a. above for discu	ssion.			
 	nazardous Section 6	s materia 5962.5 an	be located on a ils sites compile d, as a result, we vironment?	ed p	ursuant to	Govern	nment Code
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact

The Hazardous Waste and Substances Sites (Cortese) List is a planning document used by the State, local agencies and developers to comply with CEQA requirements in providing information about the location of hazardous materials release sites. Any future land uses that would be regulated by the provisions of this Project would not be permitted to create any significant hazards to the public or the environment by operating at a location included in the Cortese List. Please see Section VIII.a. above for further discussion.

ļ	olan has not use airport,	been a	ed within an adopted, within the project in the project	in two m result i	iles of a pu	blic airp	ort or public
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
betvair esta futu app	veen Cherry a traffic pattern ablished Fede re developme	Avenuens or exercised or exerci	t is located wite and Lakewood encourage futuriation Administration the Long Beat AA requiremen	od Boulevord Ire devel tration (Fach Airpo	vard. The Forments the FAA) flight property than the second secon	Project wat could protection in compl	ould not alter conflict with a zones. All iance with all
ı			n the vicinity nazard for peo	•			•
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	re are no privironmental an		rstrips located s required.	within or	adjacent to	the City	/. No further
_	•	•	impair implen ncy response			•	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	•		t encourage could potentia			•	•

interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan. No further environmental analysis is required.

	•			•		-				
	lo ar	ss, injury o	r death	expose peon involving vanized areas	wild land	fires, inc	luding	wher	e wild	lands
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	n	Less Th Significa Impact			No Impa	act
	adjac signifi	ent to wild la	ands a f loss,	eanized com nd there is r injury or d s required.	no risk of	exposing	people	or st	ructure	
IX.	HYDF	ROLOGY AN	ND WA	TER QUALI	TY					
Insura projec	ance F cted inc	Rate Maps	(FIRM	gement Age ls) designat vell as the 10	ing pote	ntial floo	d zone:	s (ba	sed o	n the
		ould the scharge rec		t violate a ents?	ny wat	er qualit	y stan	dards	s or	waste
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	n [Less Th Significa Impact			No Impa	act
	The F	Project would	d he co	nsistent witl	n all char	nters of th	e Gene	ral Pl	an inc	Judina

The Project would be consistent with all chapters of the General Plan, including the Conservation Element. All activities subject to the provisions of this Project would be required to be in full compliance with all applicable federal, State and local water quality standards and regulations. No further environmental analysis is required.

b. Would the project substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?

Please see Sections IX.a. and c. above for discussion. The City's existing storm water drainage system is adequate to accommodate runoff from any future land uses subject to the provisions of this Project. The Project would not adversely affect provisions for retention and infiltration of stormwater consistent with the City's Low Impact Development (LID) policies.

f. Would the project otherwise degrade water quality?										
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
Please see Sections IX.a. and c. above for discussion. All future developments and land uses activities involving Project regulations would be subject to all applicable water quality standards, regulations and best management practices.										
g. Would the project place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?										
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
Long area	According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), most of Long Beach is located in Zone X, which is outside of the 100-year flood hazard area. The Project would not directly or indirectly result in placing any residential land uses in flood hazard areas. No further environmental analysis is necessary.									
	•	-	place within a 10 e or redirect flood	_		d are	ea structures			
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
Plea	se see Sectior	ı IX.g	. above for discuss	ion.						
lo		deat	expose people o h involving floodi e or dam?							
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
			g. above for discusof a levee or dam.	ssion	. The City of I	Long	Beach is not			
j. V	ould the pro	ject r	esult in inundatio	n by	seiche, tsunai	mi or	mudflow?			

		elaration ND 02-18 Beach Religious Asse	embly Uses Z	oning Code	Amen	ndment					
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Tha Significar Mitigation Incorpora	nt with n		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
	within Pote imprincre	ording to Plate 11 in a zone influe ential tsunami ovements near the eased risk of inu- er discussion.	nced by th hazards w ne coastline	ne inunda vould be e. The pr	ntion limi opose	of seiche, to ited to pro ed Project wo	sunami, perties ould not	or mudflow. and public result in any			
X.	LAN	D USE AND PLA	ANNING								
	a. Would the project physically divide an established community?										
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Tha Significar Mitigatior Incorpora	nt with n		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
Code more permit develo ensure indired	(Zoni flexib tted, copme e tha ctly di	t consists of the ng Ordinance): 1 le than other ass conditionally pern nt standards for t land uses are wide any establis further environments.	l) update resembly use nitted, or no religious as categorize hed commu	egulations s; 2) clas of permitte ssembly u d properl unity as a	for resify ded in seconds. The seconds in the second in the seco	eligious asser ifferent size various zonir and 4) provi The Project v	mbly to I assemb ng distric de new would n	be equal to or ly uses to be cts; 3) update definitions to ot directly or			
	b. Would the project conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the Project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?										
		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Tha Significar Mitigation Incorpora	nt with n		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact			
	Gen appl	Section X.a. aboreral Plan, the 2 icable land use let therefore be let	010 Strate plans and	gic Plan, policies.	loca	l coastal pro	ogram,	•			

				conflict with a ty conservati			at conse	ervation plan
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	envir deve	onment cheloped prop	naracteri perties.	b. above for o zed by in-fil No habitat be impacted b	l develo _l conserva	pments tha tion plan c	it recycl or natura	e previously
XI.	MINE	ERAL RES	OURCES	6				
and na last ce	atural entury	gas. How	ever, oil sources	al resources wand gas extra have become compared to	action ope e deplete	erations haved. Today,	e diminis	shed over the
	re			result in the d be of value		•		
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	and t	there are no	o minera	opose any alto I resource acti No further dis	ivities tha	t would be a		
	n	nineral res	ource	result in the l recovery site er land use p	e delinea			
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Plea	se see Sect	tion XI.a	above for dis	cussion.			
XII.	NOIS	SE						

Noise is defined as unwanted sound that disturbs human activity. Environmental noise levels typically fluctuate over time, and different types of noise descriptors are used to account for this variability. Noise level measurements include intensity, frequency, and duration, as well as time of occurrence.

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to ambient noise levels than other uses due to the amount of noise exposure and the types of activities involved. Residences, motels, hotels, schools, libraries, churches, nursing homes, auditoriums, parks and outdoor recreation areas are more sensitive to noise than are commercial and industrial land uses.

a. Would the project result in exposure of persons to or generation o noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance or applicable standards of other agencies?										
Potentially Less Than Significant Significant with Impact Mitigation Incorporation Less Than Impact No Impact Significant Impact Incorporation										
Future construction activities related to land uses subject to the provisions of this Project could involve various types of short-term noise impacts from trucks, earth-moving equipment, and paving equipment. However, all construction activities and land use operations must be performed in compliance with the City's Noise Ordinance (Long Beach Municipal Code Section 8.80). Project implementation would not alter the Noise Ordinance provisions or exempt any future land uses or improvements from local noise controls. The local Noise Ordinance would continue to regulate all future land use construction and operational noise levels. No further environmental analysis of this issue is necessary.										
b. Would the project result in exposure of persons to or generation or excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?										
Potentially Less Than Significant With Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation No Impact										
Please see Section XII.a. above for discussion. Project implementation would occur in compliance with local noise and vibration controls.										
c. Would the project create a substantial permanent increase in ambien noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the										

project?

The City of Long Beach is the second largest city in Los Angeles County. At the time of the 2000 Census, Long Beach had a population of 461,522, which was a 7.5 percent increase from the 1990 Census. The 2010 Census reported a total City population of 462,257.

	ould the ither direc			substan	tial	population	growth	in an	area,	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant Mitigation Incorporati	with		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impa	act	
The Project involves various changes to Title 21 of the Long Beach Municipal Code (Zoning Ordinance) to simplify the regulation of Religious Assembly Uses. It is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth. No further environmental analysis is required.										
			•			numbers o ment housi		_	ısing,	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant Mitigation Incorporati	with		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impa	act	
imple	ementation	measur	es that	would di	rectly	rage any p y or indired nental analys	ctly disp	lace ex		
		•	•			umbers of p elsewhere		ecessi	tating	
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant Mitigation Incorporati	with		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impa	act	
enco	Please see Section XIII.b. above for discussion. The Project does not set forth or encourage any policies, activities or implementation measures that would directly or indirectly displace people residing in the City.									

XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES

Fire protection would be provided by the Long Beach Fire Department. The Department has 23 stations in the City. The Department is divided into bureaus of Fire Prevention,

Fire Suppression, the Bureau of Instruction, and the Bureau of Technical Services. The Fire Department is accountable for medical, paramedic, and other first aid rescue calls from the community.

Police protection would be provided by the Long Beach Police Department. The Department is divided into bureaus of Administration, Investigation, and Patrol.

The City of Long Beach is served by the Long Beach Unified School District, which also serves the City of Signal Hill, Catalina Island and a large portion of the City of Lakewood. The District has been operating at or over capacity during the past decade.

Would the proposed project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

_	_										
a. F	ire protection	?									
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact				
The Project involves changes to the City's Zoning Ordinance regarding Religious Assembly Uses and is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth that could result in increased demand for fire protection services or fire protection facilities. No further environmental analysis is required. b. Police protection?											
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact				
Since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, the Project would not significantly increase demands for police protection service, nor require provision of new police facilities. c. Schools?											
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact				

XV.

Since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, the Project would not result in any significant increased demand for public school services or facilities.									
d.	Parks?								
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
Since the Project is not intended to directly or indirectly induce population growth, the Project would not generate any significant additional demand for provision of park services or facilities by the City.									
e.	Other public fa	aciliti	es?						
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
No other impacts have been identified that would require the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities.									
RE	CREATION								
	regional park	s or	t increase the other recreation of the facility	nal f	acilities such	n that	t substantia		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
and resi	l is not intende	ed to d dem	mplifying the City's directly or indirect or and for recreation	tly inc	duce population	n grov	vth that could		
	construction o	or exp	t include recreasion of recreasion of recreasion of recreasing the envir	ationa	al facilities wh		require the night have ar		

Negative Declaration ND 02-18

d. Would the project substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?									
Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation No Impact Impact Incorporation									
The Project would not create or encourage any hazardous transportation related design features or incompatible uses. No further environmental analysis is required.									
e. Would the project result in inadequate emergency access?									
Potentially Less Than Less Than Significant Significant Impact Mitigation Incorporation									
The Project would not propose or encourage any specific land uses or developments or transportation network modifications that would have the potential to result in deficient or inadequate emergency access routes. No further environmental analysis is required.									
f. Would the project conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?									
Potentially Less Than Less Than No Impact Significant Significant with Significant Impact Mitigation Impact Incorporation									
The Project would not propose or encourage any specific land uses or developments or transportation network modifications that would conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation. No further environmental analysis is required.									
TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES									
Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code Section 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape									

that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the

XVI.

		andscape, sa lative Americ			bject wi	th cultural	value to	a California
	R	isted or elig Resources, o Public Resour	rina	a local regis	ter of h	nistoric res	_	
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	spec woul	se see Section dific construct d not be antion I cultural resou	on a	ctivities involed to significa	ving ext	tensive exc ct or destro	avation, a y any Nat	and therefore ive American
	s s a C	resource of the coupon of the	subs bdivi: criter 5024	tantial evide sion (c) of Pu ia set forth .1, the Lead <i>i</i>	nce, to k ublic Res in subc Agency s	pe significa sources Co livision (c) shall consid	nt pursua de Sectio of Public	nt to criteria n 5024.1? In c Resources
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	Plea	se see Section	n XVI	.a. above. No	further o	environment	al analysis	s is required.
XVIII.	U	ITILITIES ANI) SEF	RVICE SYSTE	EMS			
	а	. Would the applicable		ect exceed wo onal Water Q			•	ments of the
		Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact
	b		ater t	reatment fac	ilities o	r expansior	of existi	of new water ng facilities, nvironmental

Incorporation

For Sections XVIII.a. through g.: The Project involves simplifying the Religious Assembly Uses regulations and would not be expected to place an undue burden on any utility or service system. The City of Long Beach is an urbanized setting with all utilities and services fully in place. Future demands for utilities and service systems have been anticipated in the General Plan goals, policies and programs for future growth. No further environmental analysis is necessary.

XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

; ; ;	environment, species, caus evels, threat number or re	subse a fise a f	have the pote tantially reduce h or wildlife pote eliminate a planting the range of a examples of the	ce the opulation ant or rare or	habitat of on to drop be animal com endangeree	a fish elow sel munity, d plant	or wildlife If-sustaining reduce the or animal or		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
Resor conviction of a	As determined in Section IV. Biological Resources and Section V. Cultural Resources, the Project would have no significant adverse impacts on biological or cultural resources. The proposed Project would not degrade the quality of the environment, impact any natural habitats, effect any fish or wildlife populations, threaten any plant or animal communities, alter the number or restrict the range of any rare or endangered plants or animals, or eliminate any examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.								
1	cumulatively the increment connection w	consider tal effect in the constant in the con	have impact derable? ("Co ects of a proj effects of pas fects of probab	umulati ect are st proje	vely consid considerat cts, the effe	erable" ole whe ects of o	means that n viewed in		
	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation		Less Than Significant Impact		No Impact		
Tha	Project real	datar.	provisions for	Polici	oue Accomb	dy Head	s would not		

The Project regulatory provisions for Religious Assembly Uses would not contribute to any cumulative growth effects beyond what is anticipated for the City's future in the General Plan.

S	oes the ubstantial ndirectly?	project have adverse effective			will cau	
	Potentially Significant Impact	Less That Significa Mitigation Incorpora	nt with n	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	

The land use requirements of this Project would not directly or indirectly cause any substantial adverse effects on human beings. For this reason, the City has concluded that this Project can be implemented without causing significant adverse environmental effects and determined that the Negative Declaration is the appropriate type of CEQA documentation.